	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/630,181	KISLEY, RICHARD VICTOR
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brian Kunzler</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 January 2008	Time: <u>11 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 16 and 30		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		•
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
manuela_		
SATHYANARAYAN PANNALA PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's representative for compact prosecution and discussed as well as suggested to amend the claim 16 to overcome rejection under U..S.C. 101 and to cancel the claim 30. Applicant in consultation with client confirmed and authorized to Amend the same by Examiner's Amendment to expedite the prosecution.